Elizabeth Butler/R2/USEPA/US

05/04/2006 12:02 PM

To Janine MacGregor

cc Michael Burlingame, Ray Basso, SThompson, Tom Taccone, Tom Cozzi

bcc

Subject RE: Passaic River Data Request for Berger - CONFIDENTIAL

Janine,

I believe there are some messages that are getting confused and I want to make sure we're all on the same page before emails start flying from all different directions. I know you spoke with Ray briefly this morning, but he's in a meeting now and he agreed to fill me in on your discussion when he gets out. Therefore, I would like to call you to straighten this all out after I have that meeting with him and request that everyone else hold off on further emails until then as well. I realize the timing issue but given that different conversations seem to be going on with different people I want to get us all on the same page. Thanks for your patience.

Elizabeth

Janine MacGregor < Janine. MacGregor@dep.state.nj.us>

Janine MacGregor <Janine.MacGregor@dep.stat e.nj.us>

05/04/2006 11:55 AM

To Ray Basso/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Taccone/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, Elizabeth Butler/R2/USEPA/US@EPA, SThompson@PIRNIE.COM

CC Michael Burlingame < Michael.Burlingame@dep.state.nj.us>, Tom Cozzi < Tom.Cozzi@dep.state.nj.us>

Subject RE: Passaic River Data Request for Berger - CONFIDENTIAL

Tom and Scott, I am concerned about your timing on this. If I run through it, Scott says you all should talk about Mike B.s data request at the 5/10 conference call. Aside from the fact that we already discussed it at the last Joint Agency IRM meeting, this also means we won't be getting it till sometime after the 5/10 meeting. This puts our 5/17 Joint IRM meeting in jeopardy. We will not have anything substantial to discuss in terms of targeting sources.

Tom....can Mike B. or Berger just get on the phone with Scott today and hammer out how to get this??? Please advise, Janine

>>> "Thompson, Scott (White Plains)" <SThompson@PIRNIE.COM> 05/03/06 2:46 PM
>>>
Michael:

With EPA's approval, we should be able to provide most of this info (including the utility crossing). It would probably help to briefly discuss the details of your request during our teleconference on 5/10. One exception: I am not familiar with the "1962 Geophysical Report/Info," so I suggest you work with Scott Nicholson on that one (please let me know if you get anything useful).

Has a time, agenda, & dial-in # been set for the 5/10 call?

Regards, Scott Scott E. Thompson, PE Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. 104 Corporate Park Dr. White Plains, NY 10602 Ph: (914) 641-2628 Fax: (914) 641-2455

----Original Message----

From: Michael Burlingame [mailto:Michael.Burlingame@dep.state.nj.us]

Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2006 10:50 AM
To: Thompson, Scott (White Plains)

Cc: Janine MacGregor; Taccone.Tom@epamail.epa.gov;

mutku@louisberger.com; Accardi-Dey, AmyMarie

Subject: Passaic River Data Request for Berger - CONFIDENTIAL

Scott,

During our 4/12/06 conference call, Berger had discussed the need for certain information that Malcolm Pirnie might have in an "unprocessed" format, such as a spreadsheet, or that might be available in that format on Premis.

I summarized these below and was hoping you or AmyMarie could help us get these. For all data points, Berger would need coordinates and elevations.

- sediment thicknesses
- erosion and deposition rates
- geotechnical data

Also, Lisa Baron indicated that she had information on utility crossings that MPI might also have. Should I contact Lisa for this?

Finally, Scott Nicholson mentioned a "1962 Geophysical Report/Info," showing large clay layer at certain sections of the River which might be available. Should I contact Scott for this?

Thanks in advance for help obtaining these important data.

Regards,